

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/696,083	10/29/2003	Geoffrey Parker Brown	1003-001US02	5938
28863 7590 01/25/2007 SHUMAKER & SIEFFERT, P. A. 8425 SEASONS PARKWAY SUITE 105 ST. PAUL, MN 55125			EXAMINER	
			KARKHANIS, AASHISH	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3714	·
<del></del>				····
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVĘRY MODE	
3 MONTHS		01/25/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/696,083	BROWN, GEOFFREY PARKER			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Aashish Karkhanis	3714			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11/1/	<u>06</u> .				
	This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,4,6,8,11,13,14,17 and 19</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)🖂	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,4,6 8 11,13-14,17 and 19</u> is/are rejected.					
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>29 October 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority (	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
			•			
•			·			
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
3) Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) or No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 3714

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 1. Claims 1, 4, 6, 8, 11, 13 14, 17 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Best (U.S. Patent 5,358,259) in view of Breese et al. (U.S. Patent 5,987,415).

Regarding Claims 1, 11 and 13 – 14, Best discloses a method for modeling a two-way conversation between a computer-based character and a user (col. 3, lins. 15 - 21) including storing situation data in a database (col. 1, lins. 24 - 27) that defines a set of situation tags and associated situation text where the situation tags represent situations that describe contexts in which the user interacts with one or more of a plurality of characters (col. 1, lins. 55 - 65; where a branching story line followed by a player provides structure and direction for a player's ingame interactions), storing character data that defines a set of character tags and associated character text for each of the plurality of computer based characters (col. 2, lins. 28 - 40), and where each of behavior patterns is represented as a set of linked frames that specify respective text-based dialogue to be presented to user (col. 4, lins. 10 - 15; where different behaviors, characters, and other aspects of the scene are changed and selected from a database of information, which can be treated as frames defining a number of different situations), selecting one of the situation as a currently selected situation, one of the characters as a currently selected character, presenting text-based dialogue to the user from the character and response dialogue

Art Unit: 3714

from the user to the character within the environment by merging the text based dialogue specified by the frames of the currently selected situation text of the currently selected situation, and the character text of the currently selected character (col. 3, lins. 62 - 66; where dialogue audio are media tags embedded into scenes), presenting response dialogue to the user for selection as a plurality of choices, receiving a selection from the user in response to the response dialogue (col. 3, lins 65 - 68; col. 4, lins. 1 - 4), presenting text based dialogue from the currently selected character to the user within the environment by merging the text based dialogue specified by the frames of situation text of the currently selected situation and the character text of the currently selected character (col. 4, lins. 5 - 9).

Best does not disclose behavior patterns in a game environment, or the use of an online environment. However, Breese teaches storing a plurality of behavior patterns, wherein each of the behavior patterns defines a conversation with the user wherein each of the behavior patterns defines the conversation as a series of interactions with the user in accordance with a consistent attitude (col. 10, lins. 39 - 44; where a consistent baseline for user attitude is gauged) and wherein each of the behavior patterns can be used with the different characters and the different situations (col. 1, lins 15 - 20; where any number of characters may use different behavior patterns), updating a set of relationship variables based on the selection and storing the relationship variables to represent the currently selected character's attitude toward the user based on interaction with the user in the behavior pattern (col. 10, lins. 39 - 44; where a consistent baseline for user attitude is gauged and stored as a first state), selecting a second behavior pattern for the character as a function of the updated relationship variables when the first behavior pattern has been traversed (col. 1, lins. 45 - 65; where a behavior may be updated

Art Unit: 3714

based on the type and style of a user's interaction) in order to make interacting with a computer system more natural for a user and to provide a wider variety of tailored responses. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have modified the character-based electronic video game system with situation based dialogue of Best with the behavior storing, sensing and updating system for generic electronic and computer based systems including characters of Breese in order to make interacting with a computer system more natural for a user and to provide a wider variety of tailored responses.

Further, Breese teaches the use of this system through a computer network such as the online environment of the Internet (col. 7, lins. 44 - 56) in order to allow communication over a wide area for generic computer devices. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have modified the game system of Best with an online communication system of Breese in order to allow communication over a wide area for generic computer devices, and allow Internet based gaming for game devices such as the video game of Best.

Regarding Claim 4, 6, 17 and 19, Best discloses a method for modeling a two-way conversation including selecting a current one of the frames of the behavior pattern presenting the text-based dialogue from the character to the user, presenting the response dialogue from a plurality of the frames to the user for selection as a plurality of choices (col. 4, lins. 1-34), where the frames of the behavior pattern comprises a set of fixed pointers to other frames within the behavior pattern (fig. 8, elems. 60, 61, 62, 63, 64; where behaviors are guided by branching dialogue pointers), and generating a two-way conversation including traversing the pointers of

Art Unit: 3714

the linked frames based on the selection received from the user at each of the frames (col. 4, lins. 1-34).

Regarding Claim 8, Best discloses a method including storing character-specific media of the computer-based character, and displaying character-specific media with the dialogue generated for each frame of the modeled conversation wherein the character-specific media includes a set of photographs associated with the computer-based character (col. 4, lins. 1-14; where a character may be composed of multiple personalities, voices and faces as determined by a game).

### Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

1. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

Art Unit: 3714

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Aashish Karkhanis whose telephone number is (571) 272-2774. The examiner can normally be reached on 0800-1630 M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Olszewski can be reached on (571) 272-6788. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

**ARK** 

PRIMARY EXAMINER